SENT VIA EMAIL OR FAX ON Mar/12/2010

Applied Assessments LLC

An Independent Review Organization 1124 N Fielder Rd, #179 Arlington, TX 76012 Phone: (512) 772-1863 Fax: (512) 857-1245

Email: manager@applied-assessments.com

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

| DATE OF REVIEW: Mar/10/2010 |
|---|
| IRO CASE #: |
| DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: right ankle <u>arthroplasty</u> |
| DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: Board Certified in Orthopaedic Surgery |
| REVIEW OUTCOME: |
| Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be: |
| [] Upheld (Agree) |
| [X] Overturned (Disagree) |
| [] Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part) |
| INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW OD Guidelines Denial Letters 2/10/10 and 2/17/10 3/2/10 Dr. 5/25/04 thru 2/24/10 Radiology Report 1/8/10 OP Report 6/22/04 |

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY SUMMARY

2/10/10 Dr. 1/21/09

The patient suffered an irreducible subtalar dislocation and subsequently underwent a TRIPLE arthrodesis. He has significant ankle arthritis but does not want a fusion. Ankle arthroplasty has been denied by the insurance company as medically unnecessary.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDING CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

The patient is a candidate for total ankle arthroplasty. As per the AAOS position statement and standards of reasonable medical care, an arthroplasty has some advantages of an ankle arthrodesis. In addition, the patient understands the risks associated with all surgical options. The request is medically reasonable and necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION

| [] ACOEM-AMERICA COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE |
|--|
| [] AHCPR-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES |
| [] DWC-DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES |
| [] EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN |
| []INTERQUAL CRITERIA |
| [X] MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS |
| [] MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES |
| [] MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES |
| [X] ODG-OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES |
| [] PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR |
| [] TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS |
| [] TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES |
| [] TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL |
| [] PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION) |
| [] OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION) |